

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trudemark Office Address CC MMISSICNER FOR PATENTS PO Bex 1450 Alexandra, Virgina 22313-1450 www.uspfo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09 879,532	06.12.2001	Stephen M. Hoyles	60638A	3203
109 75	90 06 23 2003			
THE DOW CHEMICAL COMPANY INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY SECTION P. O. BOX 1967			EXAMINER	
			SELLERS, ROBERT E	
MIDLAND, MI 48641-1967				
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1712	<i>j.</i> ,
			DATE MAILED: 06:23:2003	٠ ـ

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action

Application No.		Applicant(s)	
09/879,532		HOYLES ET AL	
Examiner		Art Unit	
Robert Sellers		1712	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

There final r condit	REPLY FILED 13 June 2003 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. fore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a ejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in intion for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued ination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.
	PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]
′ =	The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection.
b) [2	☑ The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).
have be 37 CFR (b) abov	tensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension feeter filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension feetunder (a.1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in ve, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).
1.	A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.
2 🗌	The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:
(a) 🔲 they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);
(C	they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or
(d	they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE:
3.	Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):
4 🗌	Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).
5.🗵	The a) \square affidavit, b) \square exhibit, or c) \boxtimes request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See the attachment.
6 🗌	The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.
7.🔀	For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.
	The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:
	Claim(s) allowed:
	Claim(s) objected to:
	Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-3,5-8,26 and 30-32</u> .
	Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: <u>12-25 and 27-29</u>
8 🗌	The proposed drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner.
9 🗌	Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)
10.	Other:
	Robert Sellers Primary Examiner

PTO-303 (Rev. 04-01)

The insertion of the proper Markush language into claim 32 as presented in the entered amendment after Final rejection filed June 13, 2003 (Paper No. 11) overcomes the 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, rejection.

The obviousness-type double patenting rejection remains applicable until a terminal disclaimer is filed.

(703) 308-2399 (Fax no. (703) 872-9311) Monday to Friday from 9:30 to 6:00 EST

> Robert Sellers Primary Examiner

Art Unit 1712